

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,716	07/10/2003	Momoe Adachi	09792909-5650	2623	
26263 SONNENSCH	7590 05/20/200 IEIN NATH & ROSEN	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061	080	LEE, CY	LEE, CYNTHIA K		
WACKER DR CHICAGO, II	IVE STATION, SEAR . 60606-1080	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1795			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/616,716	ADACHI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
CYNTHIA LEE	1795	

	CYNTHIA LEE	1795	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 May 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following r application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailling date of this Ar no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 766.07(f)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the st set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the filed with th	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	and the time period sectoral in or	51 TC 4 1.57 (a).	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belov (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all: non-allowable claim(s). 1. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: AFFIDAUT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	sideration and/or search (see NO1 w); er form for appeal by materially recontresponding number of finally reject. See attached Notice of Non-Corowable if submitted in a separate, to will not be entered, or b) will didd below or appended.	TE below); flucing or simplifying the cted claims. Impliant Amendment (If the claims are the cl	PTOL-324). It canceling the eplanation of
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fails	to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (land 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/PATRICK RYAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795			

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Response submitted on 5/5/2008 are only statements with ne evidentiary support as to why the art rejections of record do not meet the claimed limitations. In particular, the Applicant has not specifically pointed out the errors of the Examiner's art rejections. Applicant has not pointed out how Kawakami, Fujita, nor lwamoto do not read on the instant claims. Applicant must discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them. It is noted that the charge capacity of the cathode is necessarily larger than the capacity component of the anode obtained by insertion and extraction of light metal because the anode capacity component is made up of the insertion and extraction capacity and deposition and dissolution capacity.